

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,591	-	12/04/2003	Norihisa Yato	01-523	6918	
23400	7590	11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
POSZ & B		•	NGUYEN, THU V			
11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE SUITE 10 RESTON, VA 20190				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3661		
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/726,591	YATO, NORIHISA	9				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	\				
	•	Thu Nguyen	3661	1				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence addres	ss -4				
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	inication.				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oc	ctober 2004.						
		action is non-final.						
3)	· <u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 8 is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
,-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ge				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/4/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/726,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

The response to the restriction requirement filed on October 21, 2004 has been entered. By this response, the species group 1 (including claims 1-3, 6 and 8) has been elected traverse, accordingly, claims 1-3, 6 and 8 are examined in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claim 2, line 2, the claimed "the time which gains or loses every an hour" is ambiguous. It is not clear if the claimed limitation means "after an hour passes, the time gains a certain amount?"
 - b. In claim 3, lines 10-11, the claim "given time following the given time standard" is ambiguous. It is not clear what should be considered as "given time" (what does "given time" means?). How would the "given time" be considered as following the given time standard"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/726,591

Art Unit: 3661

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-2, 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tajima et al (US 2003/0100323) in view of Kurokawa et al (US 5,546,092).

As per claim 1, 8, Tajima teaches a device comprising: a time indicating unit 2 (fig.1); a designating unit for designating time (lines 1-5 of para 0050); a time changing unit for changing the time on the indicating unit (para 0065). Tajima does not explicitly disclose an arrival time estimating unit for estimating an arrival time at a destination and changing the estimated arrival time based on the given period. However, Tajima teaches the capability of changing several scheduled times according to and together with the change of the present time (para 0051; 0070), moreover, Kurokawa teaches the capability of determining and displaying estimate time for arrival at a destination (col.6, lines 46-64) and the capability of compensating time difference between the local time and the universal time of convention (col.5, lines 10-15); furthermore, determining arrival time by adding the time at the present location with the period necessary for travel to the destination would have been well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the capability of determining the expected time of arrival of Kurokawa and write the expected time of arrival to the memory device of Takima in order to facilitate providing the user expected time of arrival that is adjusted when the time at the origin is adjusted.

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 2, Kurokawa teaches setting time difference in units of hours (col.5, lines 10-15; fig.3).

As per claim 3, Tajima teaches detecting moving to a region that has a different time standard (lines 5-9 of para 0050); computing time following the time standard of a region (lines 1-7 of para 0051); Tajima also teaches detecting moving to a region that has a different time standard, computing given time (the time difference in Tajima) and designating the given time into which the time changing unit changes the time indicated by the indicating unit (para 0051; 0064-0065).

As per claim 6, mounting a navigation device to a vehicle would have been well known.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's explanation concerning indication of fig.7 as belonging to the second embodiment has been considered. It is acknowledged that fig.8-10 is actually describing the second embodiment. The examiner would like to replace "fig.7" with "fig.8-10" in the restriction requirement issued on October 6, 2004. Claims 4, 5 and 7 still read on the second embodiment (compare claim 4, last limitation with the disclosed detail in the specification page 13, lines 24-26) and are still subject to the restriction requirement.

Art Unit: 3661

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-7687 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451

Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

THU V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 12, 2004